


## Annex F Haxby and Wigginton Ward

<b>F1</b>	<b>Location: South Lane/Headland Close</b>	
<b>1</b>	<b>Background information ( reason for proposal)</b>	
	<p>Problems associated with inconsiderate parking in Headland Close and near to the junction with South Lane</p> <p>Headland Close is a quiet residential cul de sac. The junction with South Lane is complicated in that South Lane seems to split and Headland Close leads off it. Parking was observed close to the Headland Close/South Lane junction and there is only a very short length of “no waiting at any time” (double yellow line) restrictions here. The restrictions are not as long as at other junctions nearby.</p>	
<b>2</b>	<b>Proposed amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order</b>	
	<p>Lengths of “no waiting at any time” (double yellow line) restrictions to prevent vehicles parking too close to the junction of South Lane/Headland Close.</p> 	
<b>3</b>	<b>Objections received</b>	
	One resident has registered an objection.	
	<b>Officer Comments</b>	

	<p><b>Grounds of objection</b></p> <p>The proposal will exacerbate the existing parking issues we already have in Kennedy Drive by displacing further vehicles into this area.</p>	<p>Kennedy Drive does experience non-residential parking, particularly at the north of the street. This issue has been referred to the 2016 review for consideration of extending the restriction southwards into Kennedy Drive.</p>
<b>4</b>	<p><b>Options Available</b></p>	
	<p>a) Implement the proposal as advertised. This is the recommended option because although we recognise the problems displaced parking may have on some residents, consideration is to be given to providing additional restrictions on Kennedy Drive in the near future.</p> <p>b) Uphold the objection and take no further action, re-advertising proposals with additional restrictions on Kennedy Drive as requested. This is not the recommended option because the problems on the junction with Headlands Close would be delayed by approximately 12 months.</p>	
<b>5</b>	<p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>Option (a): Implement the proposal as advertised. Consideration on further restrictions in the area to be investigated in the 2016 review.</p>	